

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/059,562	04/14/98	KONUMA		Т	0756-1790	
MO22204 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 MCLEAN VA 22102		MM91/0727	\neg	EXAMINER		
				NGUYEN, D		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED) :	
			•		07/27/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/059,562

Applicant(s)

Konuma et al.

Examiner Dung Nguyen

2871

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the corres	spondence address
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clear SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In ation. , a reply within to period will apply a statute, cause to	no event, he statuto and will ex	however, by minimur ry minimur rpire SIX (0 tion to bed	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for form rte Quayle, 19	nal matte 35 C.D.	rs, prose 11; 453	ecution as to the merits is O.G. 213.
4) 💢	tion of Claims Claim(s) 31-33, 38, 39, 46-51, 55-58, 65-70, 83,	85-87, 89-91,	. 93, 94 <u>,</u>	/06- 99 /is/are	110,115-117 e pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 99; 106 - 108	17000		is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) 31-33, 38, 39, 46-51, 55-58, 65-70, 83,				11/-11/
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	ar	e subject	to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:: a) □ a	pproved	b) \square disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
13) □ a) □	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure	ve been receive ve been receive locuments have lau (PCT Rule	ed. ed in App e been re 17.2(a)).	olication f eceived in	No
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				(a)
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	00 0.0.	0. 3 110	(0).
Attachm					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)				r No(s)
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of l	mormai Patei	nt Application	i (r ± 0+192)
,,, in	normation disclosure statement(s) (F+O-1445) Paper NO(5).	231 Other.			

Application/Control Number: 09/059,562

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 05/09/2001 has been received.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 31-33, 38-39, 46-51, 55-58, 65-70, 83, 85-87, 89, 90-91, 93-94 and 109-110 and 115-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 31-33, 49-51, 55-58, 65-70, 109-110 and 115-117, it is confusing and unclear how a resin grain can be provided between liquid crystal molecules of the liquid crystal layer which are adjacent to each other. According to the specification (page 39, line 18-27) and

Application/Control Number: 09/059,562 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

drawing (figure 3), a plurality of minute grains on the substrate and they exist between the layers or the adjacent liquid crystal molecules. Therefore, it is assumed for the purpose of the examination that the plurality of minute grains (27) are provided between the liquid crystal layer (26) and the orientation layer (24) which formed on the substrate (2).

Regarding claims 38-39, 46-48, 83, 85-87, 89, 90-91 and 93-94, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in those claims since those claims are depended on cancelled claims. Therefore such dependency need to be clarified.

Double Patenting

4. Claims 31-33, 38-39, 46-51, 55-58, 65-70, 83, 85-87, 89, 90-91, 93-94 and 109-110 and 115-117 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-4 and 17-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,594,569 as stated in the previous office action.

Applicant's request that a formal response to the Double Patenting rejection be held in abeyance until an indication of allowability being received is acknowledged.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/059,562

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN

07/26/2001

William I Silver

Page 4

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 2871